| WHERTAL PROTECTION |
|--------------------|
| John Manne |
| FLORIDA |
| FLORIDA |

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCO | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0170014 DA | | ARRIVE: <u>1320</u> VERNESS | DEPART: <u>1355</u> | | |
| FACILITY NAME: HOOPER FUNERAL HOME-INVERNESS FACILITY LOCATION: 501 W MAIN ST | | | | | |
| FACILITTEOCATIO | INVERNESS 34450 | | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZI | ED REPRESENTATIVE: D | | NE: (352)726-2271 | | |
| CONTACT NAME: 1 | | | | | |
| | | | NE: (352)726-2271 | | |
| ENTITLEMENT PERI | IOD: 12/14/2007 / 12/14/2 (effective date) (end date) | 2012 | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box) | | | | | |
| IN COMPLIAN | NCE MINOR Non-COM | MPLIANCE SIGNIFIC | CANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | F 4 0 | | |
| PART II: <u>TESTING/R</u> (check ☑ appropria | ECORDKEEPING REQUIR | <u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, | , F.A.C. | | |
| (check d appropria1. Were there any o2. Was a visible em | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA | | □ Yes ⊠ No | |
| (check appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demon days prior to the | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th ? nstrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | ∐Yes ⊠ No | |
| (check appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demond completed within | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Re | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | | |
| (check d appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demond completed within a) Carbon Monorvolume, dry basi | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Ru r below the requirements of 10 urly average basis and tested ac | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No □Yes □No | |
| (check d appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondary prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demonder to demonde | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Ri r below the requirements of 10 rrly average basis and tested ac ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? icable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? | □Yes □ No | |
| (check d appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demond completed within a) Carbon Mondov volume, dry basi 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pc) Particulate madry standard cub | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Ru r below the requirements of 10 Irly average basis and tested accordin ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requiren 1 to 7% O_2 and tested accordin | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | Yes No | |
| (check d appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondays prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demond completed within a) Carbon Mondov volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pp c) Particulate matching standard cub (Ref.: Chapter.62) 5. Was all emission | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Ru r below the requirements of 10 rrly average basis and tested ac ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requiren 1 to 7% O_2 and tested accordin | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | Yes No Yes No | |
| (check d appropria 1. Were there any o 2. Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondary prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder the demonder to demonder to demonder the demo | ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during th | is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible er sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining appli ification form submission? (Ri r below the requirements of 10 rly average basis and tested ac ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, equal to or below the requiren d to 7% O_2 and tested accordin urce operating at the manufact | Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? | Yes No | |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

| 1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record | |
|--|-----------------|
| primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co | |
| accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | |
| a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed? | |
| b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re | cording of such |
| measurements, maintenance, reports and records? | |
| 1) All measurements (including CEMS) | ⊠Yes ∐ No |
| 2) Monitoring device | Xes 🗌 No |
| 3) Performance Testing Measurements | Yes 🗌 No |
| 4) CEMS Performance Evaluation | Yes 🗌 No |
| 5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks | Yes 🗌 No |
| 6) Adjustments | Yes 🗌 No |
| 7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | Yes 🗌 No |
| 8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| 2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one 🗹 box) | |
| a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) | |
| b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) | |
| | |
| 3. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature | |
| is equal to or greater than 1400°F? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to | |
| record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the | |
| secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions? | 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| | |
| 4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time | ne |
| @ 1800° F? | Yes 🗌 No |
| b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$ | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic | |
| process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes 🗌 No |
| 5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated | |
| plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the | У |
| are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of | E |
| their use and for at least two years after their use? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at | |
| this location? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| 6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? | Yes 🗍 No |
| a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du | ration |
| of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

| it iter of informed information | | |
|--|-----|-----|
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been | | |
| a) installation of any new process equipment? | Yes | No |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | | No |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? | t | No |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEI | | |
| local program office? | Yes | □No |
| 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction per | mit | |
| was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit? | | No |
| 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit w | | |
| required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates? | | □No |
| a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training? | Ves | No |

Joseph V. Panetta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

Date of Inspection

03/31/2009

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Note: Boxes left unchecked do not apply due to new rule.

Spoke with operator Tom Edwards. Reviewed records from chart recorder January 1-January 30, 2009.

Crematory operating 1549 F Checked chart reords back until February 5, 2008. Reviewed maintenance records.

Mathews IE Power-Pak Prior to August 1989